



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,556	01/17/2002	Henry Wang	10065-001	8684
29391	7590	02/08/2005	EXAMINER	
BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE, P. A. 390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500 ORLANDO, FL 32801			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3724		
DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,556	WANG, HENRY <i>Oml</i>	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/23/04.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-23,35,36 and 39 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17,20 and 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,18,19,22,23,35,36 and 39 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 12/23/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-2, 6, 18, 19, 22, 23, 35 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plumb (5330167).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Plumb discloses (Fig. 1-6) the invention including a body (20 and 40), a center leg 18 having a first leg work piece-contacting surface, first and second tunnels (Fig. 2A), a first leg having a first leg work piece-contacting surface 24, non-slip surfaces (the bottom surface of the two legs), a second leg 22 having a second leg work piece-contacting surface and a handle 80. Regarding claims 18 and 22, Plumb teaches a structure (20, 40 and 92), a flat surface (the lateral side of the member 92), means 60 for adjusting the width of the tunnel and co-planar work piece contacting surfaces (Fig. 3A). Regarding claim 23, Plumb teaches the handle at an angle relative to a longitudinal axis of the tunnel (Fig. 2A). Plumb's device is capable of passing a saw device through the tunnel.

4. Claims 2, 4, 6, 18, 19, 22, 36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell (4066250).

Regarding claim 2, Campbell discloses (Fig. 1-6) the invention including a body/structure (32 and 34), a center leg 40 having a first leg work piece-contacting surface 74, first and second tunnels (Fig. 6), a first leg 22 having a first leg work piece-contacting surface (72 and 74), non-slip surfaces (70-, 72, 74 and 76) and a second leg 56 having a second leg work piece-contacting surface 76. Regarding claims 4 and 36, Campbell teaches the first leg having a width different than a width of the second leg. Regarding claims 18 and 22, Campbell teaches a structure (32 and 34), a flat surface 24, means 80 for adjusting the width of the tunnel and co-planar work piece contacting surfaces (Fig. 6). Regarding claim 39, Campbell teaches a handle 96.

Campbell's device is capable of passing a saw device through the tunnel.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hackworth et al., Michell and Klimach et al. are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs
February 6, 2005


Allan N. Shoap
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700